



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) NATHAN J. FLYNN (Examiner) (3) THOMAS A. BOSCHINSKI (30.611)  
(2) JOHN K PENG (SPE) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 4/10/97

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 7-19, 21-28 & 30-36

Identification of prior art discussed: None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative will

file a declaration dealing with his role in the preparation of the original application. As to errors "A" - "C",

Applicant will specifically point out how and when the error was discovered and cite case law that the

errors. Applicant will reconsider the issue of "in response to" vs. "upon the receipt of" (see error E)

applicant will discuss Lyse Arnoldson as "not" relevant to the deletion of marks" (see error F). Applicant will cite case

law regarding the narrowing of claims in a Broadening's Reissue. (see Error F)

The Examiner agrees that the errors in the specification may be corrected.  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

*[Handwritten Signature]*